

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/491,841	08/23/1999	Britta Daume	6887	9106	
75	90 04/15/2003			•	
Shlesinger Arkwright & Garvey LLP			EXAMINER		
3000 South Ead Arlington, VA			LUEBKE, RENEE S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. **09/491,841** 

Applicant(s)

Daume

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

Renee S. Luebke

Art Unit **2833** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with i	ine correspondence address	
for Reply				
MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar s to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	nd will expire SIX (6) I e application to becom	MONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
			ı	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 26, 20</u>	003		·	
This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   ✓ This action	on is non-final.			
ition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1, 3, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20, 22-26, .	29, 30, a <u>nd 39</u>		is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration	ո.
Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 1, 3, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20, 22-26, 2	29, 30, and 39		is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requiremen	nt.
ation Papers				
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) 🗆 accepted	d or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be hel	d in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a)□ a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Exam	iner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office act	ion.		
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	<b>d</b> .		
2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	in App	lication No	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1)	7.2(a)).		
_				
•	priority under .	ου U.S.(	33 120 dilu/Ul 121.	
	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTC	9-413) Paper No(s).	
	<u> </u>		<del></del>	
nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			
	for Reply  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Isons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In regide for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the place of the provision of the set of the provision of the proposed drawing correction filed on	The Reply CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   Internal transmity to arrelation under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the period for reply quadrided above, the macinium statutory period of the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.78 (a). The period for reply quadrided above, the macinium statutory period with great plant where INV (1) days well be considered transp. period for reply value in the control period for reply value in the communication. The period for reply value is the period of the provision of the period of the

Application Number: 09/491841

Art Unit: 2833

1. In view of the Brief on Appeal filed on February 26, 2003, and reconsideration of the claims, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

- 2. Upon consideration of applicant's arguments in the Appeal Brief, the claims are seen to define over Cuaderay, et al. and Tinnerman. Although the examiner, even now, finds that all of the claimed elements are disclosed and still disagrees that either of these references are non-analogous or teach away from the invention, applicant's arguments that there is no reason to combine the references are convincing.
- 3. Claim 25 is objected to because "said elastic sleeve part" lacks antecedent basis.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20, 22-26, 29, 30 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 13 and 24 do not properly describe the invention. Contrary to section (c) of claims 1 and 13, the band shaped contact element is not *attached* to the base. As

Application Number: 09/491841

Art Unit: 2833

noted on page 17 and 18 of the specification, the base 4 comprises the band shaped contact element 10 and the elastic part 22. The band is *part* of the base. It is further noted that claim 8 requires the base be constructed of a conducting material; the only way that his claim can be met by the present invention is if the band is part of the base. Many of the dependent claims, particularly claim 24, also confuse the relationship between the base, the band shaped contact element and the elastic part.

To the extent that the claims are understood, the following rejection, based on the prior art, applies.

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20, 22, 24, 25, 29 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ellinwood (US Patent 2,279,866). This device (see Figs. 5-8) comprises a base structure 10, 13 "adapted to be tensioned around a coaxial cable," sealing lips (the edges of cushion 13 that project as in the area of reference numeral 13 in Fig. 7) "for providing a seal," and a band shaped, electrically conducting contact element 10 including a metallic contact protrusion 14. In regard to claims 22 and 29, the ends of the base structure have brackets or terminals 11 that are connectable to a conductor. In regard to claim 39, it is noted that the brackets are "provided with sealing surfaces" and are "adapted to sandwich an elastic sealing element therebetween" since they are flat surfaces; no seal is positively claimed.
- 7. Claims 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellinwood. In regard to claim 23, Ellinwood discloses the use of a screw 12 to attach the

Application Number: 09/491841 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

brackets. The use of more than one screw is seen to have been an obvious duplication of parts. In regard to claim 26, Ellinwood discloses that the elastic part be formed of resilient rubber of other similar resilient and compressible material. One of average skill in the art would have concluded that a thermoplastic elastomer meets those requirements and would have seen such as an obvious alternative.

8. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellinwood in view of Tinnerman '627. Ellinwood is silent about how the screws are held to the bracket. However, Tinnerman teaches the use of a threaded bracket thereby reducing the number of required parts and securing the screw to the bracket prior to attachment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a threaded hole on the bracket of Ellinwood as taught by Tinnerman.

9. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Effective May 1, 2003, the United States Patent and Trademark Office has a new Commissioner for Patents address. Correspondence in patent related matters must now be addressed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For additional information regarding the new address, see Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9318 or 308-7722 or 308-7724 (informal or draft communications should be clearly labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Page 5

Application Number: 09/491841

Art Unit: 2833

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (Receptionist) 2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (703) 308-1511. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (703) 308-2319.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

April 11, 2003

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800